

The ORBIT bleeding score is associated with lysis and permeability of fibrin clots

Leszek Drabik^{1,2}, Paweł T. Matusik^{1,3}, Anetta Undas^{1,4}

¹ Krakow Center for Medical Research and Technology, John Paul II Hospital, Kraków, Poland

² Department of Pharmacology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

³ Department of Electrophysiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

⁴ Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

Introduction Clinical schemas largely based on age and comorbidities, in particular the CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED (hypertension, abnormal renal/liver function, stroke, bleeding history or predisposition, labile international normalized ratio, elderly [>65 years], drugs / alcohol concomitantly) scores, have been reported to predict thromboembolism or bleeding, respectively, in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). However, current European Society of Cardiology guidelines do not recommend any preferred scoring system to predict major bleeding but rather suggest identifying and correcting modifiable bleeding risk factors.¹ Several biomarkers have been investigated,² and currently some of them may be incorporated into bleeding risk assessment.³

Fibrin constitutes a key protein component of thrombi causing ischemic stroke.⁴ It has been shown that formation of compact clot networks, evidenced by low fibrin clot permeability, is an independent predictor of both thromboembolic events and major bleedings in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) on oral anticoagulation. Moreover, low permeability and HAS-BLED score of at least 3 had a predictive value for major bleeds.⁵ Similar observations have been made for patients on rivaroxaban.⁶ Clot lysis has been found to be prolonged in patients with AF without any impact of CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED scores.⁷ It remains unclear whether fibrin-related prothrombotic biomarker(s) added to a scoring system based on clinical risk factors can result in more accurate risk assessment across different AF populations.⁸

In this preliminary report, we investigated whether clinical bleeding risk scores are

associated with plasma fibrin clot properties and thrombin generation in patients with AF.

Methods We studied 100 patients with documented nonvalvular AF, derived from the cohort described in detail elsewhere.⁹ Briefly, the exclusion criteria were: current anticoagulation, myocardial infarction or venous thromboembolism within the preceding 3 months, malignancy, acute infection, kidney failure requiring dialysis, and liver cirrhosis. ORBIT (hypertension, abnormal renal / liver function, stroke, bleeding history or predisposition, labile international normalized ratio, elderly [>65 years], drugs / alcohol concomitantly),¹⁰ HEMORR₂HAGES (hepatic or renal disease, ethanol abuse, malignancy, older [aged >75], reduced platelet count, rebleeding risk, hypertension [uncontrolled], anemia, genetic CYP 2C9 polymorphisms, excessive fall risk, stroke / TIA history),¹¹ HAS-BLED,¹² and modified HAS-BLED¹³ scores were used to evaluate bleeding risk. The study protocol was approved by the University Ethics Committee.

Standard assay techniques were used in routine laboratory investigations. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels were measured using an immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). We determined plasma tissue-type plasminogen activator antigen (tPA, Diagnostica Stago, Asnières-sur-Seine, France), plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 antigen (PAI-1, American Diagnostica, Stamford, Connecticut, United States), thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (Chromogenix, Lexington, Massachusetts, United States), and von Willebrand factor antigen (Diagnostica Stago). Chromogenic

Correspondence to:

Leszek Drabik, MD, PhD,
Department of Pharmacology,
Jagiellonian University Medical
College, Grzegorzeczka 16,
31-531 Kraków, Poland,
phone: +48 12 421 11 68,
email: leszek.drabik@uj.edu.pl
Received: September 19, 2019.

Revision accepted:

October 11, 2019.

Published online:

October 11, 2019.

Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77 (12): 1182-1185
doi:10.33963/KP.15020

Copyright by the Author(s), 2019

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics according to bleeding risk scores

Variable	ORBIT				HEMORR ₂ HAGES				HAS-BLED				Modified HAS-BLED			
	Low risk (0–2) (n = 73)	Moderate risk (3) (n = 14)	High risk (≥4) (n = 13)	P value	Low risk (0–1) (n = 58)	Moderate risk (2–3) (n = 35)	High risk (≥4) (n = 7)	P value	Low risk (0–2) (n = 67)	High risk (≥3) (n = 33)	P value	Low risk (0–2) (n = 42)	High risk (≥3) (n = 58)	P value	Low risk (0–2) (n = 42)	High risk (≥3) (n = 58)
Age, y	69 (62–74)	74 (68–77)	73 (73–75) ^a	0.007	68 (63–73)	74 (70–77) ^a	74 (73–76) ^a	0.004	68 (62–75)	73 (70–74)	0.02	64 (60–71)	73 (70–76)	<0.001	64 (60–71)	73 (70–76)
Women	27 (37.0)	8 (57.2)	6 (46.2)	0.34	20 (34.5)	18 (51.4)	3 (42.9)	0.27	24 (35.8)	17 (51.5)	0.13	10 (23.8)	31 (53.5)	0.003	10 (23.8)	31 (53.5)
BMI, kg/m ²	30 (27–34)	29 (27–30)	28 (26–30)	0.38	30 (26–34)	28 (26–34)	27 (24–30)	0.18	29 (26–32)	29 (26–35)	0.38	29 (26–33)	29 (27–34)	0.50	29 (26–33)	29 (27–34)
Arterial hypertension	58 (79.5)	11 (78.6)	11 (84.6)	0.90	44 (75.9)	29 (82.9)	7 (100.0)	0.28	53 (79.1)	27 (81.8)	0.75	28 (66.7)	52 (89.7)	0.005	28 (66.7)	52 (89.7)
Uncontrolled hypertension	13 (17.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (23.1)	0.19	3 (5.2)	10 (28.6)	3 (42.9) ^a	0.002	6 (9.0)	10 (30.3)	0.006	7 (16.7)	9 (15.5)	0.87	7 (16.7)	9 (15.5)
Previous stroke	5 (6.9)	2 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	0.34	1 (1.7)	5 (14.3) ^a	1 (14.3)	0.052	1 (1.5)	6 (18.1)	0.005	0 (0.0)	7 (12.1)	0.02	0 (0.0)	7 (12.1)
CKD stage 3	11 (15.1)	6 (42.9) ^a	7 (53.9) ^a	0.002	14 (24.1)	7 (20.0)	3 (42.9)	0.43	14 (20.9)	10 (30.3)	0.30	4 (9.5)	20 (34.5)	0.003	4 (9.5)	20 (34.5)
Previous severe bleeding	4 (5.5)	2 (14.3)	6 (46.2) ^a	<0.001	0 (0.0)	7 (20.0) ^a	5 (71.4) ^a	<0.001	2 (3.0)	10 (30.3)	<0.001	1 (2.4)	11 (19.0)	0.01	1 (2.4)	11 (19.0)
Anemia	1 (1.4)	5 (35.7)	10 (76.9) ^a	<0.001	2 (3.5)	8 (22.9) ^a	6 (85.7) ^a	<0.001	2 (3.0)	14 (42.4)	<0.001	0 (0.0)	16 (27.6)	<0.001	0 (0.0)	16 (27.6)
Antiplatelets	32 (43.8)	8 (57.1) ^a	11 (84.6) ^a	0.02	23 (39.7)	22 (62.9) ^a	6 (85.7) ^a	0.02	30 (44.8)	21 (63.6)	0.07	12 (28.6)	39 (67.2)	0.001	12 (28.6)	39 (67.2)
Labile INR	18 (24.6)	3 (21.4)	6 (46.2)	0.24	13 (22.40)	10 (28.6)	4 (57.1)	0.14	10 (14.9)	17 (51.5)	0.001	5 (11.9)	22 (37.9)	0.006	5 (11.9)	22 (37.9)
CLT, min	101.7 (89–118)	93 (84–101)	80 (78–92) ^a	0.015	99 (86–118)	98 (84–103)	92 (8–116)	0.65	99 (85–114)	99 (84–107)	0.69	100 (85–118)	97 (84–106)	0.37	100 (85–118)	97 (84–106)
K _v , cm ² × 10 ^{−9} , mean (SD)	6.5 (0.7)	7.3 (0.9) ^a	7.0 (0.8) ^a	<0.001	6.6 (0.8)	6.6 (0.8)	6.9 (0.8)	0.74	6.6 (0.8)	6.8 (0.90)	0.40	6.7 (0.7)	6.6 (0.8)	0.55	6.7 (0.7)	6.6 (0.8)

Data are presented as number (percentage) or median (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated.

^a *P* < 0.05 vs low risk

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CLT, clot lysis time; HAS-BLED, hypertension, abnormal renal/liver function, stroke, bleeding history or predisposition, labile international normalized ratio, elderly (>65 years), drugs/alcohol concomitantly; HEMORR₂HAGES, hepatic or renal disease, ethanol abuse, malignancy, older (aged >75), reduced platelet count, rebleeding risk, hypertension (uncontrolled), anemia, genetic CYP2C9 polymorphisms, excessive fall risk, stroke/TIA history; INR, international normalized ratio; ORBIT, older (aged >74), reduced hemoglobin/hematocrit/history of anemia, bleeding history, insufficient kidney function, treatment with antiplatelets

assays were used to measure α 2-antiplasmin and plasminogen (Diagnostica Stago). Fibrinogen was determined using the Clauss assay. Calibrated automated thrombography (Thrombinoscope BV, Maastricht, the Netherlands) was used to measure endogenous thrombin potential as described.⁹ Fibrin clot permeability was measured using a pressure-driven system as described previously.⁹ A permeation coefficient (Ks), which indicates the pore size in the fiber network (higher values indicate looser fibrin structure), was calculated.

Clot lysis time (CLT) was defined as the time required for change from the midpoint of the clear-to-maximum-turbid transition, to the final plateau phase at 405 nm, induced by 32 ng/ml tPA (Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany).⁵ All measurements were performed by technicians blinded to the sample status (inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation <7%).

Statistical analysis Data were presented as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range [IQR]), as appropriate. Normality was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The *t* test or the Mann–Whitney test were used to test differences between 2 groups as appropriate. Means between the 3 groups were compared by 1-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey post-hoc test. Medians were analyzed by the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by the test for multiple comparisons of mean rank. Correlations were assessed by the Pearson or Spearman test, as appropriate. Categorical variables were analyzed using the χ^2 test or the Fisher exact tests. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA version 13 (Statsoft Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma, United States).

Results The data of patients with AF are presented in TABLE 1 and in Supplementary material, TABLE 1. The mean (SD) ORBIT, HEMORR₂HAGES, HAS-BLED, and modified HAS-BLED scores were 1.78 (1.48), 1.43 (1.14), 2.07 (1.12), and 2.70 (1.16), respectively. A high bleeding risk defined as ORBIT score of at least 4, HEMORR₂HAGES score of at least 4, HAS-BLED score of at least 3, and modified HAS-BLED score of at least 3 was found in 13%, 7%, 33%, and 58% patients, respectively.

Patients with ORBIT bleeding score of at least 4 were older, had higher prevalence of chronic kidney disease stage 3, anemia, previous serious bleeding, and use of antiplatelets. This high-risk group had 20.8% shorter CLT (median [IQR], 80 [78–92] min vs 101 [89–118] min; *P* = 0.027), compared with those with ORBIT bleeding score of 0 to 2. There was no difference between CLT in the group with ORBIT bleeding score 3 and at least 4 (*P* = 0.28). K_s was 7.7% and 12.3%

higher in patients with ORBIT bleeding score of at least 4 and 3 compared with ORBIT bleeding score of 0 to 2 (mean [SD], 7.0 [0.8] cm²×10⁻⁹ vs 7.3 [0.9] cm²×10⁻⁹ vs 6.5 [0.7] cm²×10⁻⁹; *P* = 0.002 and *P* = 0.048, respectively).

No associations of CLT and K_s with HEMORR₂HAGES and HAS-BLED and modified HAS-BLED scores were observed in patients with AF.

Multiple linear regression adjusted for age, body mass index, and fibrinogen showed that ORBIT bleeding score (β = -0.17), PAI-1 (β = 0.31), tPA (β = 0.18), and NT-proBNP (β = 0.42) were the independent predictors of CLT (*R*² = 0.40) in the whole group. In the multiple regression model, ORBIT bleeding score (β = 0.22), PAI-1 (β = -0.35) and plasminogen (β = 0.22) were the independent predictors of K_s (*R*² = 0.29) in the study group.

Discussion This study demonstrates that the 5-element ORBIT bleeding score which has been reported to have better ability to predict major bleeding in patients with AF as compared with HAS-BLED and ATRIA risk scores,^{10,14} is associated with enhanced fibrinolysis and looser clot structure, which renders it prone to fragmentation. This finding extends our previous studies regarding plasma fibrin clot density and lysis in patients with AF.^{4,5} We did not observe any association between bleeding risk assessed by ORBIT, HEMORR₂HAGES, HAS-BLED, modified HAS-BLED scores and thrombin generation, fibrinolytic proteins, and NT-proBNP. We observed that the highest ORBIT bleeding score was associated with impaired kidney function and use of aspirin or clopidogrel that have been reported to unfavorably alter fibrin structure and function and also predispose to bleeding.^{4,9} The exact mechanisms underlying these observations remain to be established. Our study supports the concept of a complex clinical and biomarker-based approach in the bleeding risk assessment in patients with AF regarding moderate prediction using schemas based only on age and comorbidities.^{5,15}

Our study has several limitations. The size of the study was limited especially in subgroup analyses. All laboratory measurements were determined once and changes over time cannot be excluded. Two biomarkers, GDF-15 and troponin, were not measured in the whole group and were not analyzed. Finally, statistical associations reported here do not necessarily indicate a direct cause-effect relationship. We did not collect follow-up data, therefore, the actual bleeding rate in this group is unknown.

This hypothesis-generating report suggests that tendency to form looser and more lysable fibrin clots can be observed in patients with high bleeding risk assessed by ORBIT score. Further studies are needed to corroborate these results.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at www.mp.pl/kardiologiapolska.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS This study has been supported by a grant from Jagiellonian University Medical College (K/ZDS/005802; to AU).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for non-commercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

HOW TO CITE Drabik L, Matusik PT, Undas A. The ORBIT bleeding score is associated with lysis and permeability of fibrin clots. *Kardiol Pol.* 2019; 77: 1182-1185. doi:10.33963/KP.15020

REFERENCES

- 1 Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J.* 2016; 37: 2893-2962.
- 2 Matusik PT, Prior SM, Butenas S, et al. Association of cardiac troponin I with prothrombotic alterations in atrial fibrillation. *Kardiol Pol.* 2018; 76: 1106-1109.
- 3 Hijazi Z, Lindbäck J, Alexander JH, et al. The ABC (age, biomarkers, clinical history) stroke risk score: a biomarker-based risk score for predicting stroke in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2016; 37: 1582-1590.
- 4 Ząbczyk M, Undas A. Plasma fibrin clot structure and thromboembolism: clinical implications. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2017; 127: 873-881.
- 5 Drabik L, Wołkow P, Undas A. fibrin clot permeability as a predictor of stroke and bleeding in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. *Stroke.* 2017; 48: 2716-2722.
- 6 Janion-Sadowska A, Chrapek M, Koniecznyńska M, Undas A. Altered fibrin clot properties predict stroke and bleedings in patients with atrial fibrillation on rivaroxaban. *Stroke.* 2019; 50: 185-188.
- 7 Drabik L, Wołkow P, Undas A. Denser plasma clot formation and impaired fibrinolysis in paroxysmal and persistent atrial fibrillation while on sinus rhythm: association with thrombin generation, endothelial injury and platelet activation. *Thromb Res.* 2015; 136: 408-414.
- 8 Pruszyk P, Tomaszuk-Kazberuk A, Słowik A, et al. Management of bleeding or urgent interventions in patients treated with direct oral anticoagulants: 2017 recommendations for Poland. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2017; 127: 343-351.
- 9 Matusik PT, Matusik PS, Kornacewicz-Jach Z, et al. Elevated NT-proBNP is associated with unfavorably altered plasma fibrin clot properties in atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017; 243: 244-250.
- 10 O'Brien EC, Simon DN, Thomas LE, et al. The ORBIT bleeding score: a simple bedside score to assess bleeding risk in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2015; 36: 3258-3264.
- 11 Gage BF, Yan Y, Milligan PE, et al. Clinical classification schemes for predicting hemorrhage: Results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation (NRAF). *Am Heart J.* 2006; 151: 713-719.
- 12 Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaar R, et al. A Novel User-Friendly Score (HAS-BLED) To Assess 1-Year Risk of Major Bleeding in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: The Euro Heart Survey. *Chest.* 2010; 138: 1093-1100.
- 13 Rutherford OCW, Jonasson C, Ghanima W, et al. New score for assessing bleeding risk in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with NOACs. *Open Heart.* 2018; 5: e000931.
- 14 Natale A, Mohanty S, Gianni C. Comparison of different oral anticoagulant regimens in patients with atrial fibrillation: is the risk of left atrial appendage thrombus formation truly comparable between regimens? *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2017; 127: 810-812.
- 15 Lip GYH, Skjøth F, Nielsen PB, et al. The HAS-BLED, ATRIA, and ORBIT Bleeding Scores in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Using Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants. *Am J Med.* 2018; 131: 574.e13-574.e27.